|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Source:  (Title) |  |
| Website: |  |
| Author:  (Who Wrote it?) |  |
| Credentials:  (Why should I trust this person?) |  |
| Claim:  (What’s his or her idea about the topic?) |  |
| Evidence:  (How does the author support the claim?) |  |
| Bias:  (Does the author appear to be in favor or against) |  |

Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Topic\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Position\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

This year, we’ve had multiple classes discussing the importance to evaluating a source and how to do so effectively. While the sources on ProCon.org have been selected because their accuracy, you are **required** to seek out at least two additional sources to support the claims on your topic. You will turn in this guide after posting on the message board.
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